UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/540,388	02/24/2006	Takatoshi Sakata	4731-0116PUS1	2692	
	7590 03/05/2008 ART KOLASCH & BIRCI	1	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		TRIEU, T	TRIEU, THERESA		
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3748		
	·				
•					
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/05/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	•			7		
1		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Advisory Action	10/540,388	SAKATA ET AL.			
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Theresa Trieu	3748			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE	REPLY FILED 17 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.			
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date		:- 4b - 6 - 1 - : t t			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
nave under set fo may i	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exergiver 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the softh in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
3. 🔀	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);			
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	•		
_	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1					
_	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Aireale Glad amandar			
). <u>[</u>	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	nowable ii submitted in a separate,	umely liled amendine	ent canceling the		
7. 🛭	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
	Claim(s) rejected to:					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
3. ∐	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
REQ	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•			
11.	☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
э Г	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SR/08) Paper No(s)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. Other: _____.

February 28, 2008

/Theresa Trieu/

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3748

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation "each of the first roller bearing and the second roller bearing is a ball bearing including an inner ring and an outer ring,..... and a stator of the motor is positioned in a motor housing" added to claim 1 would require further search and consideration.

- Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section.

Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.